

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/749,001	12/30/2003	Yat Kwong Lai	99,722	8375	
20306	7590 05/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W		
300 S. WACK 32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60606		1722		

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summary		10/749,001	LAI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tim Heitbrink	1722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	s			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this commur INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>	ay 2006.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) 10-19 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	•	je			
	application from the International Bureau	•					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachmen	Ne\	·					
Attachment	u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview St	ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-20-04;12-27-05</u> .	5) Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,001

Art Unit: 1722

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki (US Patent 3,807,920).

Aoki discloses a first cavity chamber 22 forming a seal liner, a second cavity chamber 16 forming a shell, a first nozzle 11 for a seal liner material positioned near the outer edge, a second nozzle 13 for a shell material and a rotatable mold core 20 positioned in and moved between the first and second mold cavities.

The Examiner notes the materials of claim 7, but such materials are not considered relevant to the apparatus. See In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); In re Young, 75 F.2d 996, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935); MPEP 2115.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8 above, and further in view of Taha (US Patent 6,551,093).

While Aoki does not provide a stripper plate to eject the molded article, Taha discloses a stripper plate 120 used to eject a molded article to be conventional.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,001

Art Unit: 1722

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a stripper plate in the apparatus of Aoki in order to eject the molded article.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8 above, and further in view of Ochs et al. (US Patent 4,803,031).

While Aoki does not disclose the mold core having an end forming a seal liner portion, Ochs et al. disclose a core 26 in the same field of endeavor having an end forming a seal liner 7 to be conventional.

Claims 5 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8 above, and further in view of Yanagihara et al.

While Aoki does not disclose a manifold to feed the material to the mold cavities, Yanagihara et al. discloses manifolds 22 and 24 to be material to a mold cavity to be conventional.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8 above, and further in view of Olaru.

While Aoki does not disclose the nozzles to be thermal-gated and valve gated respectively, Olaru discloses using thermal-gated and valve gated nozzles in the same apparatus to feed two different cavities to be conventional.

Claims 10-19 are allowed since the prior art fails to disclose four mold core as set forth in the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 571-272-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Heitbrink Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

twh